

Contextual Bandits with Packing & Covering Constraints: A Modular Lagrangian Approach via Regression



Alex Slivkins
(MSR-NYC)



Dylan J. Foster
(MSR-NYC)



Karthik A. Sankararaman
(Meta)

Courtesy Speaker: **Jon Schneider** (Google)

Contextual Bandits with Linear Constraints

- T rounds, K arms, d *resources* time is a resource
- \forall round t : algorithm observes context x_t , chooses arm $a_t \in [K]$
 - outcome is a vector: negative consumption = replenishment
(reward; consumption of each resource) $\in [0,1] \times [-1,1]^d$
 - Constraint $\sigma_i(C_i - B_i) \leq 0$ for each resource i

sign ± 1

total consumption

budget $\in [0, T]$
 - packing constraints: $\leq B_i$; covering constraints: $\geq B_i$
- Stochastic CBwLC: (context & outcome vector \forall arm) drawn IID
- Objectives: regret & constraint violations

Special case: Contextual Bandits with Knapsacks (CBwK)
packing constraints, non-negative consumption, null arm (“skip”)

Contextual Bandits (CB) model

CB with **regression oracle**: recent line of work on CB

Forster et al. (2018), Foster & Rakhlin (2020), Simchi-Levi & Xu (2020)

(arbitrary) algorithm for *online regression*

- inputs datapoints (context, arm, real-valued “score”)
- outputs “regression functions”: contexts \times arms \rightarrow reals
- achieves low “squared regression error” under *realizability*

“true” regression function contained in the “target” class of functions

Good approach for CB: practicality, theory, practice

The oracle (& realizability) applied separately to rewards & each resource

CBwLC (or CBwK) with regression oracles not studied in prior work

Some background

BwK are challenging because ...

- per-round expected reward \rightarrow total expected reward
- Best arm \rightarrow best distribution over arms


CBwLC (& CBwK) are well-understood ...

- without contexts
- for linear rewards & consumptions
- for CB with classification oracles

Another (earlier) standard paradigm for CB

Our contributions

First algorithm for CBwLC with regression oracles

- $T^{3/4}$ regret & constraint violations (possibly suboptimal)
- optimal \sqrt{T} regret for CBwK  concurrent work: Han et al. (2023)
- extends to bandit convex optimization

Non-stationary environment: bounded #switches

Prior work:
“spend or save dilemma”

- even 1 switch \Rightarrow aprox. ratio w.r.t. standard benchmarks!
- our algorithm \Rightarrow vanishing regret w.r.t. **non-standard benchmark**

For each round, best distribution over arms for this round

- new even for BwK (i.e., without contexts, only packing constraints)

Techniques

SquareCB to handle CB with regression oracles

- randomization technique: estimated rewards \rightarrow distribution over arms

LagrangeBwK to handle resource constraints

- solves BwK via repeated zero-sum game:
“primal algorithm” chooses arms, “dual algorithm” chooses resources,
game payoffs given by a natural Lagrangian relaxation
- This paper: (non-trivial) extension from BwK to BwLC

Modularity of both techniques  crucial for design, analysis & extensions

- Regression oracle \rightarrow SquareCB \rightarrow “primal algorithm”